

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

As stated above, Applicant respectfully elects herewith the embodiment of Group 1, including claims 1-7, for further prosecution. Applicant respectfully traverses the requirement for restriction for the following reasons:


It is believed that the present invention is directed to a unitary inventive concept, namely, a satellite tracking antenna system mounted to a moving object to track a satellite position. It is believed that any search for the species embodied in claims 1-7 would necessarily include a search for the species embodied in the remaining Claims. Thus, a simultaneous search for all of the species is believed not to constitute an unreasonable search for the Patent Examiner. In addition, it is believed that the objectives of streamlined examination and compact prosecution would be promoted if a search were conducted simultaneously for all of the species.

Also, the necessity of filing multiple patent applications for the same invention does not serve to promote the public interest. This is because of the extra expense that is involved,

in filing fees and examination costs, as well as the burden upon the public due to the necessity of searching through a multiplicity of patent files in order to find the complete range of subject matter claimed in several different patents that could otherwise be found in one issued patent only.

In the event that no generic claim is allowed, Applicant reserves the right to file divisional applications for the non-elected embodiments.

Respectfully submitted,  
Chan Goo PARK




---

Elizabeth C. Richter, Reg.No.35,103  
Attorney for Applicant

COLLARD & ROE, P.C.  
1077 Northern Boulevard  
Roslyn, New York 11576  
(516) 365-9802

ECR:cmm

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on December 27, 2007.



---

Amy Klein